

Suspension System Tutorial (AutoDesign)





Copyright © 2020 FunctionBay, Inc. All rights reserved.

User and training documentation from FunctionBay, Inc. is subjected to the copyright laws of the Republic of Korea and other countries and is provided under a license agreement that restricts copying, disclosure, and use of such documentation. FunctionBay, Inc. hereby grants to the licensed user the right to make copies in printed form of this documentation if provided on software media, but only for internal/personal use and in accordance with the license agreement under which the applicable software is licensed. Any copy made shall include the FunctionBay, Inc. copyright notice and any other proprietary notice provided by FunctionBay, Inc. This documentation may not be disclosed, transferred, modified, or reduced to any form, including electronic media, or transmitted or made publicly available by any means without the prior written consent of FunctionBay, Inc. and no authorization is granted to make copies for such purpose.

Information described herein is furnished for general information only, is subjected to change without notice, and should not be construed as a warranty or commitment by FunctionBay, Inc. FunctionBay, Inc. assumes no responsibility or liability for any errors or inaccuracies that may appear in this document.

The software described in this document is provided under written license agreement, contains valuable trade secrets and proprietary information, and is protected by the copyright laws of the Republic of Korea and other countries. UNAUTHORIZED USE OF SOFTWARE OR ITS DOCUMENTATION CAN RESULT IN CIVIL DAMAGES AND CRIMINAL PROSECUTION.

Registered Trademarks of FunctionBay, Inc. or Subsidiary

RecurDyn is a registered trademark of FunctionBay, Inc.

RecurDyn/Professional, RecurDyn/ProcessNet, RecurDyn/Acoustics, RecurDyn/AutoDesign, RecurDyn/Bearing, RecurDyn/Belt, RecurDyn/Chain, RecurDyn/CoLink, RecurDyn/Control, RecurDyn/Crank, RecurDyn/Durability, RecurDyn/EHD, RecurDyn/Engine, RecurDyn/eTemplate, RecurDyn/FFlex, RecurDyn/Gear, RecurDyn/DriveTrain, RecurDyn/HAT, RecurDyn/Linear, RecurDyn/Mesher, RecurDyn/MTT2D, RecurDyn/MTT3D, RecurDyn/Particleworks I/F, RecurDyn/Piston, RecurDyn/R2R2D, RecurDyn/RFlex, RecurDyn/RFlexGen, RecurDyn/SPI, RecurDyn/Spring, RecurDyn/TimingChain, RecurDyn/Tire, RecurDyn/Track_HM, RecurDyn/Track_LM, RecurDyn/TSG, RecurDyn/Valve

are trademarks of FunctionBay, Inc.

Edition Note

This document describes the release information of **RecurDyn V9R4**.

Table of Contents

| Outline of Tutorial Sample D | . 4 |
|---|-----|
| Suspension System Design Problem | . 5 |
| Loading the Model and Viewing the Yaw & Roll ranges | . 6 |
| Defining the design variables | . 7 |
| Defining the performance index | . 9 |
| Running a Design Optimization | 11 |
| Design Optimization with Screening Variables | 16 |

Outline of Tutorial Sample D

| Model | Description |
|----------|---|
| | Suspension System Design Problem: |
| Sample D | This design has two design objectives. Also, it has 27 design variables. The design goal is to minimize the Yaw-range and the Roll-Range of tire motion. This problem is not easy because it is a multi-objective problem and has too many design variables. In general, other design tools employ D-Optimal design or Latin Hypercube for constructing the meta model. Suppose that construct a quadratic response surface model, D-optimal design fundamentally requires 406 sampling points, which is evaluate by using 1+2*27+27*26/2. AutoDesign uses however only 44 evaluations to solve the problem without variable screening. Key Point: Study the concept of multi-objective optimization and the procedure of screening design variables. |

Sample

Suspension System Design Problem

Let's consider the simple model of car suspension system. The system has 5 components such as arm, tie rod, knuckle, shock absorber damper and tire. When the tire moves along vertical direction, the simulation shows the damping process and kinematical motion.

All the design variables are the geometric coordinates of joints. The design objective is to minimize the first rotational Yaw-Roll of tire.

This problem has 27 design variables for 9 joints. Nevertheless, we will try to minimize the Yaw-range and the Roll- range directly. In other words, design variable screening is not used. Next, we will re-try to solve the same problem after screening the design variables. Then, the optimization results will be compared.



| | | Open files related in Sample-E |
|---|------------------|---|
| Sample | <ir n</ir | nstallDir>\Help\Tutorial\AutoDesign\SuspensionSystem\Examples\SAMPLE_D0.rdy |
| | 1 | <installdir>\Help\Tutorial\AutoDesign\SuspensionSystem\Solutions\SAMPLE_D 0.rdyn</installdir> |
| Sample n 1 1 0.rdyn 0.rdyn 2 1.rdyn 1.rdyn | | |
| | 3 | <installdir>\Help\Tutorial\AutoDesign\SuspensionSystem\Solutions\SAMPLE_D 2.rdyn</installdir> |

Note: If you change the file path at discretion, it can be located in any folder that you specify.

Chapter

Loading the Model and Viewing the Yaw & Roll ranges

To load the base model and view the animation:

- RecurDyn
- 1. On your Desktop, double-click the **RecurDyn** tool.

RecurDyn starts and the **Start RecurDyn** dialog box appears.

- 2. Close **Start RecurDyn** dialog box. You will use an existing model.
 - In the toolbar, click the **Open** tool and select 'Sample_D0.rdyn' from the same directory where this tutorial is located. The suspension system appears in the modeling window.
 - 4. Click the **Dynamic/Kinematic** button.

| Start RecurDyn × New Model Name Intodel1 Unit MMKS(Millimeter/Kilogram/Newton/Second) Setting Gravity -Y Open Model Browse Recent Models Icons | × | | |
|---|---|------------|---|
| New Model - | | | |
| Name | Model1 | | |
| Unit | MMKS(Millimeter/Kilogram/Newton/Second) | Setting | |
| <u>G</u> ravity | ү х | Setting | |
| | | <u>о</u> к | |
| Open Model | | Browse | |
| Recent Mode | els | Icons | • |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Show 'Start | : RecurDyn' Dialog when starting | | |

Dyn/Kin

Plot

Click the **Plot Result** button. Then, window is switched. In right side, you can see plot database. If it is not shown, check the database window in **View** menu. Expression 2 & 3 are Yaw and Roll angles.





Defining the design variables

All geometric coordinates of joints are defined by using **Parametric Points** shown at the below figure. In Figure, they are represented as **A_x, A_y, ..., R_y** and **R_z**.

Next, the parametric values are defined in the **Parametric Value** menu in **Subentity**

| No [| OP | Name | Value | Comment 🔺 🕨 |
|------|----|------|---------|-------------|
| 1 | | A_x | -5. E | |
| 2 | | A_y | 425. E | |
| 3 | | A_z | -129. E | |
| 4 | | B_x | -4. E | |
| 5 | | B_y | 736. E | = |
| 6 | | B_z | -156. E | |
| 7 | | G_X | 297. E | |
| 8 | | G_y | 401. E | |
| 9 | | G_z | -116. E | |
| 10 | | T_x | 165. E | |
| 11 | | T_y | 335. E | |
| 12 | | T_z | 28. E | |
| 13 | | H_x | 130. E | |
| 14 | | H_y | 690. E | |
| 15 | | H_z | 10. E | |
| 16 | | C_x | 30.5 E | |
| 47 F | | C. v | 505 E | |

All the above relations have defined in the model 'SAMPLE_D.rdyn'.

Next, select the **Design Parameter** menu.

Then, you can see that 27 parametric values are linked by design parameters as shown below.

| | Name | Туре | Prop. | Descripti | Curr | LB | UB | Design Cost | DP Form | DV 📥 |
|---|------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|---------|---|
| 1 | DP1 | Direct | | A_X | -5. | -15, | 5. | 0. | Value | |
| 2 | DP2 | Direct | | A_Y | 425. | 415. | 435. | 0. | Value | |
| 3 | DP3 | Direct | | A_Z | -129. | -139. | -119. | 0. | Value | |
| 4 | DP4 | Direct | | B_X | -4. | -14. | 6. | 0. | Value | v |
| ; | DP5 | Direct | | B_Y | 736. | 726. | 746. | 0. | Value | v |
| 5 | DP6 | Direct | | B_Z | -156. | -166. | -146. | 0. | Value | Image: A set of the set of the |
| 7 | DP7 | Direct | | G_X | 297. | 287. | 307. | 0. | Value | Image: A start of the start of |
| 3 | DP8 | Direct | | G_Y | 401. | 391. | 411. | 0. | Value | |
| 9 | DP9 | Direct | | G_Z | -116. | -126. | -106. | 0. | Value | I |
| | DP10 | Direct | | ТХ | 165. | 155. | 175. | 0. | Value | |





Defining the performance index

Let's consider the performance indexes. We will minimize the Yaw and Roll ranges during tire moves vertical direction. As RD does not provide those values directly, we should evaluate them by using Expression and Variable Equation.



In order to evaluate them, we evaluate the minimum and the maximum values for Yaw and Roll angles. Then, the deviations between Max and Min are the ranges for them.

• **Step 1**: Create the **Expression** for **Yaw** and **Roll** angles. The following figures show the expressions to save Yaw and Roll angles.

| Expression | Expression |
|---|---|
| Name Ex2 | Name Ex3 |
| YAW(1,2)*RTOD | ROLL[1,2]*RTOD |
| Available Argument List | Available Argument List |
| Function expressions ID Entity | Entity |
| $= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\text{Ground DISP GROUND}}$ | $= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\text{Ground DISP, GROUND}}$ |
| the <i>g</i> Displacement the <i>g</i> Velocity | teresting Displacement |
| Acceleration | Constant of the second |
| B → F Generic force | Generic Torce Generic Torce Generic Torce Generic Torce |
| in fdt System element | 🖶 Jdt System element 🗨 |
| Add Delete | Add Delete |
| OK Cancel Apply | OK Cancel Apply |

• Step 2: By using Expression, 'YAW_DEVIATION' and 'ROLL_DEVIATION' are defined. Then, you can define them as analysis responses at 'Analysis Response' in AutoDesign. The following figure shows this process.

| | Analysis Re | esponse List | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Analysis Re | esponse | | |
| | No | Name Type | Pr Description | Treatment PI |
| | 1 4 | R1 Basic | YAW_DEVIATION | End Value |
| | 2 AI | R2 Bas | ROLL_DEVIATION | End Value |
| - | | | | |
| Expression List | Analysis Response - Bas | sic | | |
| | | | | |
| No Name Expression Va | Name | | | V |
| 1 Ex1 IF(TIME-18:100-(10*TIME E 1 | Result Output YAV | N_RANGE | EL | |
| 2 Ex2 YAW(1,2)*RTOD E | Treatment | d Value | | |
| 3 Ex3 ROLL(1,2)*RTOD E | | | | V |
| 4 Ex4 0.0 E | Description YAV | N_DEVIATION | | |
| 5 Ex5 IF(YAW(1,2)*RTOD-VARV | | | ▼ Delete | |
| 6 Ex6 IF(YAW(1,2)*RTOD-VARV | ОК | Cancel | | |
| 7 Ex7 IF(ROLL(1,2)*RTOD-VARV E N | | | ОК | Cancel Apply |
| 8 EX8 IF(ROLL(1,2)*RTOD-VARV E N | /Α | | | |
| 9 YAW_RANGE VARVAL(2)-VARVAL(1) E N | /A | | | |
| 10 ROLL_RAINGE VARVAL(2)-VARVAL(1) E N | /Α | | | |
| | | v | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | w l | | |
| | | <u>×</u> | | |
| Create | Delete | | | |
| C | K Cancel App | ply | | |



Running a Design Optimization

When you select the **'Design Optimization**', all the design variables, check in **DV**, will be included in the design. The performance index however will be empty. Then, you add ARs to the Performance Index.

The optimization problem is to minimize the Yaw Range and the Roll Range while satisfying those two ranges less than them of the current design. Also, we think that Yaw Range is more important than Roll Range. Thus, its' weight is twice greater than that of Roll.

Minimize Yaw_Deviation*2 & Roll_Deviation

subject to

Yaw_Deviation =< 0.67 Roll_Deviation =< 2.12,

where the values of 0.67 and 2.12 are the range values for the current design. Those two inequality constraints seem to be redundant because two objectives should be minimized. It is however not guaranteed. Thus, it is difficult to solve multi-objective optimization problem. In the Part II manual: Guideline for **AutoDesign**, the chapter 5 explains the multi-objective optimization.

Let's consider the above design problem from the view of multi-objective optimization process. If necessary, let's denote $f_1 = Yaw_Deviation$ and $f_2 = Roll_Deviation$. When the initial samples construct the approximate functions of $f_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $f_2(\mathbf{x})$, which are called

as metamodels. Then, f_1^* and f_2^* is the minimum values from the initial samples.

$$Min \max\left\{ \left(\frac{f_1(\mathbf{x}) - f_1^*}{f_1^*} \right), \left(\frac{f_2(\mathbf{x}) - f_2^*}{f_2^*} \right) \right\}$$

Thus, the quality of multi-objectives optimization depends on the number of initial samples. Unfortunately, this problem has 27 design variables, which requires too many sample points. Those two inequality constraints can avoid the pre-mature convergence, even though the number of initial samples is minimized.

Let's start to solve the multi-objective optimization problem:



1. Click the **Performance Index** Tab. Then, define the above optimization formulation as follows:

| D | esign Oj | otimiza | ntion | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|-----------|--------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|------|-----------|---|--------------------|
| [| Design Va | riable | Performan | ice Ir | odex Optimization Cor | ntrol | Result Sheet | Sumn | ary Sheet | | |
| | PI | Use | AR | | Description | | Definition | | Goal | | Weight/Limit Value |
| | 1 | | AR1 | - | YAW_DEVIATION | | Objective | - | MIN | • | 2. |
| | 2 | | AR2 | - | ROLL_DEVIATION | | Objective | - | MIN | • | 1. |
| | 3 | | AR1 | - | YAW_DEVIATION | | Constraint | - | LE | • | 0.67 |
| | 4 | | AR2 | • | ROLL_DEVIATION | | Constraint | - | LE | • | 2.12 |

2. Click the **Optimization Control** Tab. The default values are directly used. Then, click the **Execution** button.

| | Performance Index | Optimization Control | Result Sheet | Summary SI | neet | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| DOE Meta N | lodeling Methods | | | | Me | thods | | | |
| Convergence | Tolerance | | | | | | | | |
| Objective C | hange Rate in Consec | utive Iterations | | 5 | e-02 | | | | |
| Equality Constraints | | | | | 1.e-03 | | | | |
| Inequality C | onstraints | 1 | 1.e-03 | | | | | | |
| Maximum It | eration of SAO | 3 | 30. | | | | | | |
| Convergend | e Relaxation Control | | | C | FF | | | | |
| Simulation | lype | | | D | ynamic/Kinematic | | | | |
| 🗹 Save Res | ults All_Variables\ | | | N | umber of Trials | 33 | | | |
| | Analysis Set | ting |] [| | Execution | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | J <u> </u> | | | | | | |

Then, you can see the summary of design formulation. Check **Design Variables**, **Performance Index** and **Meta-Model information**. If all information is correct, then click the **OK** button. Then, optimization process is progressed.

| No | DV | Description | Current | LB | UB | Туре | | Value | E |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|----|-------|---|
| 1 | DP1 | A_X | -5. | -15. | 5. | Variab | le | 0. | 1 |
| 2 | DP2 | A_Y | 425. | 415. | 435. | Variab | le | 0. | 1 |
| 3 | DP3 | A_Z | -129. | -139. | -119. | Variab | le | 0. | |
| 4 | DP4 | B_X | -4. | -14. | 6. | Variab | le | 0. | |
| 5 | DP5 | B_Y | 736. | 726. | 746. | Variab | le | 0. | F |
| 2 | | AR2 | | | Constraint | INIIN | | 0.67 | ł |
| 1 | | AR1 | YAW_DEVIATION | | Objective | MIN | 2. | | 1 |
| 2 | | AR2 | R2 ROLL_DEVIAT | | Objective | MIN | | 1. | I |
| 3 | | AR1 | YAW_DEVIATI | ION | Constraint | LE | | 0.67 | |
| 4 | | AR2 | ROLL_DEVIAI | ION | Constraint | LE | | 2.12 | |
| eta - I li | Model | Method | li Padia | ncomplete Si | mall Composite | Design -2 | | | |
| IVI | Polynomin | al Type | Auto | | | | | | |
| | r olynollin | | | | Auto | | | | |

3. When the optimization process is completed, the **Result Sheet** Tab is automatically shown. The optimization process is converged in 4 iterations. Thus, AutoDesign solves the suspension system design having 27 design variables only for 37 analyses that includes 33 analyses for the initial sampling points. The final design gives that AR1=0.667 and AR2=1.405, which can minimize the Yaw deviation by 0.3 % and the Roll deviation by 33.7 %.

Convergence History

| in variable | Performance Index Optimization Contr | rol Result Sheet Summary She | et |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| imization H | istory of AR Values | | |
| No | AR1 | AR2 | Violation |
| 1 | 3.86520917508263 | 1.57468713862915 | 3.19427617508263 |
| 2 | 0.667637053194471 | 1.40589313958494 | 0. |
| 3 | 0.306546631927268 | 2.02587083724447 | 0. |
| 4 | 0.301460706428136 | 2.0239105350193 | 0. |
| ; 🗈 🗠 | 🔍 😒 👻 🖩 🗘 🕀 🌠 🕷 | i 🗉 🔅 🔏 🙋 | |
| 25.00 20.00 15.00 | | | Normalized Objed Maximum Violation |
| 5.00 0.00 1 | 2 | s Steration | 4 |

Summary Sheet

| sign Variable | Performance Index | Optimization Con | trol Result She | et Summary She | et | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| esign Variable | s | | | | | |
| No | Name | Description | Optimum | Current | LB | UB |
| 1 | DP1 | A_X | 5. | -5. | -15. | 5. |
| 2 | DP2 | A_Y | 415. | 425. | 415. | 435. |
| 3 | 3 DP3 | | -139, | -129. | -139. | -119. |
| nalysis Respor | nses | | | | | |
| No | Na | ime | D | escription | | Optimum |
| 1 AR1 | | R1 | YAW_DEVIATION | | | .667637053194471 |
| 2 | 2 AR2 | | POL | DEVIATION | 1 | .40589313958494 |
| erformance In | dexes | | NOL | | | |
| 2 erformance Inc | dexes | | Kot | | | |
| erformance Inc | dexes AR | Desc | ription | Definition | Goal | Weight/Limit Value |
| erformance Inc No | dexes AR AR1 | Desc YAW_DI | ription EVIATION | Definition Objective | Goal MIN | Weight/Limit Value 2. |
| erformance Ind | dexes AR AR1 AR2 AR1 | Desc YAW_DI ROLL_D XAW_DI | ription EVIATION EVIATION | Definition Objective Objective | Goal MIN MIN | Weight/Limit Value |
| erformance Ind No 1 2 3 4 | dexes AR AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 | Desc YAW_DI ROLL_D YAW_DI | ription EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION | Definition Objective Objective Constraint | Goal MIN MIN LE LE | Weight/Limit Value 2. 1. 0.67 2.12 |
| erformance Ind No 1 2 3 4 SAO | dexes AR AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 | Desc YAW_DI ROLL_D YAW_DI ROLL D | ription EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION | Definition Objective Objective Constraint Constraint | Goal MIN MIN LE LE | Weight/Limit Value 2. 1. 0.67 2.12 |
| erformance Ind No 1 2 3 4 SAO | dexes AR AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 ethod Incomplete | Desc YAW_DI ROLL_D YAW_DI ROLL D ROLL D | ription EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION esign -2 | Definition Objective Objective Constraint Constraint | Goal MIN MIN LE LE | Weight/Limit Value 2. 1. 0.67 2.12 |
| Provide a constraint of the second se | dexes AR AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 Complete Radial Basi | Desci YAW_DI ROLL_D YAW_DI ROLL D Small Composite Dr s Functions Model(h | ription EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION esign -2 Multi-Quadratic) | Definition Objective Objective Constraint Constraint | Goal MIN LE LE | Weight/Limit Value 2. 1. 0.67 2.12 Auto |
| Provide a constraint of the second se | dexes AR AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 ethod Incomplete Radial Basi Runs 33 | Desc YAW_DI ROLL D YAW_DI ROLL D Small Composite D Small Composite D SAO | ription EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION esign -2 Multi-Quadratic) 4(0) | Definition Objective Objective Constraint Constraint Polynomi Total Eval | Goal MIN LE LE nal Type | Weight/Limit Value 2. 1. 0.67 2.12 Auto 37 |
| Provide the second seco | dexes AR AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 ethod Incomplete Radial Basi Runs 33 In ENSYMPTED | Desci YAW_DI ROLL D YAW_DI ROLL D Small Composite Di s Functions Model(M SAO Trupk\AddEile\Tutpo | ription EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION esign -2 Multi-Quadratic) [4(0) | Definition Objective Objective Constraint Constraint Polynomi Total Eval | Goal MIN LE LE nal Type | Weight/Limit Value 2. 1. 0.67 2.12 |
| Provide a constraint of the second se | dexes AR AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 AR1 AR2 ethod Incomplete Radial Basi gn E:\SVIN\GT\ | Desci YAW_DI ROLL_D YAW_DI ROLL D Small Composite Do s Functions Model(N SAO Trunk\AddFile\Tutor | ription EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION EVIATION esign -2 Multi-Quadratic) [4(0) ial/AutoDesign(5 | Definition Objective Objective Constraint Constraint Polynomi Total Eval | Goal MIN LE LE nal Type uations \All_Variables | Weight/Limit Value 2. 1. 2.12 Auto 37 DD_004 |

In the summary sheet, shown in the above, is newly provided. The optimization results are summarized in the design variables and analysis responses lists. Also, the SAO information is summarized, which shows that SAO requires 4 iterations. Thus, the number of total evaluations is 37. And the analysis result of optimal design is `DO_004'.

4. Finally, let's compare the yaw and roll ranges for the initial design and the final design. DO_004 is the final design. Also, DOE33 is the initial design. The following figures show those comparisons. When the ISCD-1 and ISCD-2 compose the initial samples, the final one of the initial samples is the current design. In the following figures, the red color line is an optimum result.

Yaw(Toe)





Chapter 5

Design Optimization with Screening Variables

Now, let's compare the optimization results for considering all design variables and the screened design variables. Thus, save the model file 'Sample_D0.rdyn' as 'Sample_D1.rdyn' and delete all the results in the Simulation History.

First, let's try to screen the design variables. As screening is based on the effect analysis results, you select the design study in **AutoDesign**. Following steps explain the screening process:



1. Enter the **Design Study** menu.

2. Then, you can see the list of design variables in the **Design Variable** tab. As the number of design variables is 27, we select the **2-Level Orthogonal Array** to reduce the number of trials. If 3-Level Orthogonal Array is selected, the number of trials is 81.

| sign Variable | Performance Ind | ex Simulation Control | Effec | t Analysis | Screening Variables | Correlation Ana | alysis | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------|------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|--|--|
| Method 2-l | evel Orthogonal A | rray 🔻 | | | | | | | |
| Ext | ended Plackett-Bur | man | | | | | | | |
| DV Th | ree-level Orthogon | al Array | Level | Lower | Mid1 | Mid2 | Upper | | |
| 1 Lev 2-L | el Balanced Descrip. evel Orthogonal Ar. | rray | 2 | -15. | 0 | 0 | 5. | | |
| 2 Bo | se`s Orthogonal Ar | ray | 2 | 415. | 0 | 0 | 435. | | |
| 3 | DP3 | A_Z | 2 | -139. | 0 | 0 | -119. | | |
| 4 | DP4 | B_X | 2 | -14. | 0 | 0 | 6. | | |
| 5 | DP5 | B_Y | 2 | 726. | 0 | 0 | 746. | | |
| 6 | DP6 | B_Z | 2 | -166. | 0 | 0 | -146. | | |
| 7 | DP7 | G_X | 2 | 287. | 0 | 0 | 307. | | |
| 8 | DP8 | G_Y | 2 | 391. | 0 | 0 | 411. | | |
| 9 | DP9 | G_Z | 2 | -126. | 0 | 0 | -106. | | |
| 10 | DP10 | T_X | 2 | 155. | 0 | 0 | 175. | | |
| 11 | DP11 | T_Y | 2 | 325. | 0 | 0 | 345. | | |
| 12 | DP12 | T_Z | 2 | 18. | 0 | 0 | 38. | | |
| 13 | DP13 | H_X | 2 | 120. | 0 | 0 | 140. | | |
| 14 | DP14 | H_Y | 2 | 680. | 0 | 0 | 700. | | |
| 15 | DP15 | H_Z | 2 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 20. | | |
| 16 | DP16 | c_x | 2 | 20.5 | 0 | 0 | 40.5 | | |
| 17 | DP17 | с_Y | 2 | 585. | 0 | 0 | 605. | | |
| 18 | DP18 | C_Z | 2 | 485. | 0 | 0 | 505. | | |
| 19 | DP19 | E_X | 2 | -14. | 0 | 0 | 6. | | |
| Number of Trials 32 R Set Default | | | | | | | | | |

3. Next, in the Performance Index tab, two analysis responses such as AR1 and AR2 will be shown. If they are not, you retry to make **`Sample_D1.rdyn**' from **`Sample_D0.rdyn**'.

| [| esign St | udy | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|-------------------|------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Design Va | riable | Performance Index | Simulation | Control | Effect Analysis | Screening Variables | Correlation Analysis | |
| | PI | | AR | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | AR1 | | | | | | |
| | 2 | | AR2 | | | | ROLL_DEVIATION | | |

4. Next, click the **Simulation Control** tab. If you save the analysis results each trial, check the **Save Results** and enter the **Folder name**. Then, click the **Execution** button.

| Design S | Study | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Design V | /ariable Per | formance Index | Simulation Control | Effect Analysis | Screening Variables | Correlation Analysis | |
| Simu | ulation Type | | | | Dynamic/k | ïnematic | • |
| ✓s | ave Results | effect∖ | | | Nu | mber of Trials 32 | |

| | DV | Description | Level | Lower | Mid1 | Mid2 | Upper | |
|-------|----------------------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|-----------|-------|-------|----|
| 1 | DP1 | A_X | 2 | -15. | 0 | 0 | 5. | |
| 2 | DP2 | A_Y | 2 | 415. | 0 | 0 | 435. | |
| 3 | DP3 | A_Z | 2 | -139. | 0 | 0 | -119. | |
| 4 | DP4 | B_X | 2 | -14. | 0 | 0 | 6. | |
| 5 | DP5 | B_Y | 2 | 726. | 0 | 0 | 746. | |
| | 2 | | | | KOLL_DEVI | Anon | | -8 |
| | 2 | | | | KOLLDEV | Allow | | l |
| DE Me | thod | | | | KOLL_DEV | Anon | | l |
| DE Me | thod | | 2- | Level Orthogo | nal Array | | | |
| DE Me | thod Method Trial No | | 2- | Level Orthogo 32 | nal Array | | | -1 |
| DE Me | thod Method Trial No | | 2- | Level Orthogo 32 | nal Array | | | |

5. After all analyses have been completed, the design study window is activated. Then, click the **Effect Analysis** tab. Then, you can see the effect analysis chart by checking the check boxes in the **Effect Values** column and clicking the **Draw** button. The effect analysis results are shown as follows:



Two figures show that the different variables are sensitive to PI_1 and PI_2. Generally, users select the sensitive variables from the above charts. It is however not easy. Thus, **AutoDesign** provides a statistical guideline for screening variables.

6. Click the **Screening Variables** tab. Then, select **AR_1** in the **PI** box. Then, you can see the scatter points. The right positioned points are more sensitive to the left ones. Move the controller to divide design variable groups. Then, the **Cutoff Value** as **0.3** and click the **Screening DV** button.



Then, the red line divides the scatter points into two groups. The right group is the remaining variables. Then, the screened variables are marked as **`On**'. Others are done as **`Off**'.

Next, select **AR2** in the **PI** box and do the same process similarly except defining **Cutoff Value** as **0.06**. Then, the screened variables are summarized as follows:



The screening DV gives that the active design variables (DV) are DP3, DP6, DP8, DP10, DP12, DP15, DP17, DP19, DP21, and DP27.

Now, create the new model by clicking the **Save** button with checking **Create New Model**. The new model file name is **`Sample_D2.rdyn**'.



7. Now, you can see that the model file is changed as 'Sample_D2.rdyn'. Click the Design Parameter icon in AutoDesign. Unlike the model 'Sample_D1.rdyn', the screened variables are only checked in DV column. This represents that DV1=DP3, DV2=DP6, DV3=DP8, DV4=DP10, DV5=DP12, DV6=DP15, DV7=DP17, DV8=DP19, DV9=DP21, and DV10=DP27. Most of the active design parameters are z-coordinate values.

| ٧o | Name | Туре | Prop | Description | Current | LB | UB | Design Cost Rate | DP Form | DV | |
|----|------|--------|------|-------------|---------|-------|-------|------------------|---------|----|----------|
| 1 | DP1 | Direct | | A_X | -5. | -15. | 5. | 0. | Value | | |
| 2 | DP2 | Direct | | A_Y | 425. | 415. | 435. | 0. | Value | | |
| 3 | DP3 | Direct | | A_Z | -129. | -139. | -119. | 0. | Value | | = |
| 4 | DP4 | Direct | | B_X | -4. | -14. | 6. | 0. | Value | | |
| 5 | DP5 | Direct | | B_Y | 736. | 726. | 746. | 0. | Value | | |
| 6 | DP6 | Direct | | B_Z | -156. | -166. | -146. | 0. | Value | | |
| 7 | DP7 | Direct | | G_X | 297. | 287. | 307. | 0. | Value | | |
| 8 | DP8 | Direct | | G_Y | 401. | 391. | 411. | 0. | Value | | |
| 9 | DP9 | Direct | | G_Z | -116. | -126. | -106. | 0. | Value | | |
| 0 | DP10 | Direct | | T_X | 165. | 155. | 175. | 0. | Value | | |
| 1 | DP11 | Direct | | T_Y | 335. | 325. | 345. | 0. | Value | | |
| 10 | DP12 | Direct | | т 7 | 20 | 10 | 20 | ^ | Value | | _ |

8. Next, Click the **Design Optimization** icon. Then, the screened variables are shown as follows:

Opt

| g | n Variable | Performance Index | Optimization Control | Result Sheet 9 | Summary Sheet | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|-------|----------|---|-------|
| | DV | DP | Description | Current | LB | UB | Туре | | Value |
| | 1 | DP3 | A_Z | -129. | -139. | -119. | Variable | - | 0. |
| | 2 | DP6 | B_Z | -156. | -166. | -146. | Variable | - | 0. |
| | 3 | DP8 | G_Y | 401. | 391. | 411. | Variable | • | 0. |
| | 4 | DP10 | T_X | 165. | 155. | 175. | Variable | • | 0. |
| | 5 | DP12 | T_Z | 28. | 18. | 38. | Variable | - | 0. |
| | 6 | DP15 | H_Z | 10. | 0. | 20. | Variable | - | 0. |
| | 7 | DP17 | C_Y | 595. | 585. | 605. | Variable | • | 0. |
| | 8 | DP19 | E_X | -4. | -14. | 6. | Variable | • | 0. |
| | 9 | DP21 | E_Z | -40. | -50. | -30. | Variable | - | 0. |
| | 10 | DP27 | R_Z | -332. | -342. | -322. | Variable | - | 0. |

9. Click the **Performance Index** tab. The optimization formulation will be the same as that of **`Sample_D1.rdyn**'. Let's use the same formulation.

| De | esign Optimization | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|--------|-----------|-------|------------------|-------|--------------|------|-----------|---|--------------------|
| D | esign Vai | riable | Performan | ce Ir | Optimization Cor | ntrol | Result Sheet | Summ | ary Sheet | | |
| | PI | Use | AR | | Description | | Definition | | Goal | | Weight/Limit Value |
| | 1 | | AR1 | • | YAW_DEVIATION | | Objective | - | MIN | • | 2. |
| | 2 | | AR2 | • | ROLL_DEVIATION | | Objective | - | MIN | - | 1. |
| | 3 | | AR1 | • | YAW_DEVIATION | | Constraint | - | LE | - | 0.67 |
| | 4 | ~ | AR2 | - | ROLL_DEVIATION | | Constraint | - | LE | - | 2.12 |

10. In the **Optimization Control** tab, all the convergence tolerances use the default values. The result files are saved in the folder **`Screening**'.

| esign Optimizat | ion | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|---|------------------|---------|-------|
| Design Variable | Performance Index | Optimization Control | Result Sheet S | ummary Sheet | | | | |
| DOE Meta Mo | odeling Methods | | | | | | Methods | |
| Convergence Te | olerance | | | | | | | |
| Objective Ch | ange Rate in Cons | ecutive Iterations | | | 5 | .e-002 | | |
| Equality Con | straints | | | | 1 | .e-003 | | |
| Inequality Co | onstraints | | | | 1 | .e-003 | | |
| Maximum Ite | ration of SAO | | З | 0. | | | | |
| Convergence | e Relaxation Contro | bl | | | C |)FF | | • |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Simulation T | уре | | | | C | ynamic/Kinematic | | - |
| ✓ Save Resu | ults Screening\ | | | | N | umber of Trials | 13 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Analy | ysis Setting | | | | Execution | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ОК | Cancel | Apply |

11. After the optimization is completed, let's see the result sheet. **AutoDesign** is converged in 3 iterations. The optimized AR1 and AR2 are 0.657 and 2.087, respectively. AR1 and AR2 are similar to those of the design without screening.



Convergence History

Unlike the convergence history for the design problem without screening, the above convergence history simply decreased. Let's compare the summary of two optimization problems.

| | With Screening | Without Screening |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Number of design variables | 10 | 27 |
| Initial samples | 13 | 33 |
| Number of SAO runs | 2 | 4 |
| Samples for screening | 32 | - |
| Optimum response | 0.657, 2.087 | 0.667, 1.405 |

Thanks for participating in this tutorial!